

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/738,471	12/15/2000	Peter M. Black	50740(3)	9663	
7590 12/20/2004 STEVEN S. RUBIN BROWN RAYSMAN MILLSTEIN FELDER & STEINER LLP 900 THIRD AVENUE			EXAMINER		
			FISCHER, ANDREW J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,, NY 10022			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-		
6	09/738,471	BLACK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
-	Andrew J. Fischer	3627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 O</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-36</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/738,471

Art Unit: 3627

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Restriction

- 1. The examiner for this application has changed. Please indicate Examiner Andrew J. Fischer as the examiner of record in all future correspondences.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is usually required under 35 U.S.C. §121:
  - I. Claims 24-33, drawn to a method of selecting at least one file, classified in class345, subclass 661.
  - II. Claim 34, drawn to a medium, classified in class 717, subclass 120.
- III. Claims 35 and 36, drawn to a system, classified in class 705, subclass 26.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). It is the Examiner's position that Inventions I and II are not patentably distinct. Therefore, if Applicants select Invention I,
- Invention II will also be examined. And if Applicants select Invention II, Invention I will also be examined. However, should Applicants amend either Invention I or Invention II such that the

inventions then become patentably distinct, the two inventions will be restricted at that time.

4. Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/738,471

Art Unit: 3627

claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus—one that does not require the second computer effective to receive a file from the first computer.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Steven S. Rubin on or about December 3, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. §1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. §1.17(i).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew J. Fischer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0292. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olszewski Robert can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/738,471

Art Unit: 3627

Page 4

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew J. Fischer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627